



FEBRUARY 26, 2013

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR OBJECTION TO THIRD ALLOCATION OF PROPERTY TO THE FUND OF CUSTOMER PROPERTY AND AUTHORIZING THIRD INTERIM DISTRIBUTION TO CUSTOMERS

I DAVID GROSS AND IRMA GROSS ACCOUNT NUMBER 1-CM404-3-0

OBJECTION TO UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
PERTAINING TO ADV.PRO. NO 08-01789 (BRL)
SIPA LIQUIDATION -- (SUBSTANTIVELY CONSOLIDATE)

IN REFERENCE OF 08-01789-BRL DOC 5230-2 FILED 02/13/13 ENTERED 02/13/13 16:03:29 NOTICE OF MOTION PG 1 OF THREE

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO DAVID GROSS AND IRMA GROSS SUBMITTED TO ME FROM THE TRUSTEE IRVING H. PICARD. WHICH I HAVE TOTAL OBJECTION TO. THE PEOPLE INVOLVED WITH THIS ACCOUNT WAS OR IS STILL A PARTNER OF BERNARD MADOFF. MAURICE COHN REPRESENT COHMAD WHO OVERSEERED MY INVESTMENTS ORIGINALLY FROM DAY ONE AS A COHMAD ACCOUNT.

ESPECIALLY, REFERENCED TO AN ACCOUNT NUMBER THAT I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH AND HAVE NO RECORD OF.1CM05130
MAURICE COHN

UNFORTUNATELY THE TRUSTEE IS AWARE OF COHMAD AND IT'S REPRESENTATIVES LOOKING TOWARD RECAPTURE OF IMPROPER RECORDS AND FUNDS. AT NO TIME HAS THE TRUSTEE FULLY RESEARCHED THE ORIGINAL HISTORY OF (CM) COHMAD ACCOUNT HOLDERS. THERE IS NO WAY, I DAVID GROSS HAVE BEEN GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE THE RECORDS OF COHMAD AND BLMIS MADE AVAILABLE TO DAVID GROSS AND IRMA GROSS. THE ONLY OPPORTUNITY WOULD BE A CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTION INDICATING THAT COHMAD PRINCIPLES AND PARTNERS WERE AS RESPONSIBLE AS MR. MADOFF. FOR YEARS COHMAD ADVISE ME WHAT TO REPORT TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE FOR INCOME

(NOT BLMIS REFERENCE TO MY REMARKS THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO MAY GIVE LIGHT FOR AN ACCOUNTING OF MY INVESTMENTS WITH COHMAD. MAY BE ABLE TO ANSWER THE QUESTION TO THE HANDLING OF MY INVESTMENTS IS RICHARD SPRING. MAURICE COHN AND LAWRENCE BELL CPA

I AM FORWARDING A COPY OF MY OBJECTIONS AS INDICATED

COHMAD PRINCIPLE IS MAURICE COHN AND THE PARTNER OF

COHMAD WAS BERNARD L MADOFF WHO TOGETHER RAN THE BUSINESS.

DAVID GROSS 7248 BALLANTRAE CT. BOCA RATON FL. 33496 561 483 4543 --- 516 263 3642

Wand From

FEBRUARY 24 2013

- EXHIBIT #1 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S DETERMINATION OF CLAIM
 WHEN I RECIEVED THIS NOTICE I OBJECTED TO TO THESE NUMBERS
- EXHIBIT #2 WAS THE RESPONSE SENT TO ME
 NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S REVISED DETERMINATION OF CLAIM
- EXHIBIT #3 IS A COPY A MONTHLY STATEMENT OF WHICH I RECIEVED A COPY OF THAT WAS SENT DIRECTLY TO SOSNICK AND BELL CPA FOR ANYLIZING THE TRANSACTIONS FOR THIS ACCOUNT.

 DAVID GROSS AND IRMA GROSS ACCOUNT NUMBER 1-CM404-3-0
- EXHIBIT #4 IS A COPY OF SOSNICK & BELL ANALYSIS OF THE YEARLY
 REVIEW SHOWING WHAT MY YEARLY GAIN WAS TO REPORT ON
 MY INCOME TAX FOR YOUR REVIEW

THE TRUSTEE CLAIMS MY STATEMENTS WERE NOT TRUE, THEN HOW CAN I RELY ON THE TRUSTEES NUMBERS TO BE TRUE. THERE IS A REFERENCE TO AN 1CM05130 OF WHICH I NEVER SAW A STATEMENT PERTAINING TO DAVID GROSS AND IRMA GROSS WITH THE NUMBERS 1CM05130. (LOOK AT EXHIBIT #2)

WHEN MY ACCOUNTANT LAWRENCE BELL OF SOSNICK AND BELL WHO WAS REFERRED TO ME BY BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC ADVISED ME ABOUT THE TAXES I HAD TO PAY. AT THIS TIME WE DID ESTIMATED TAXES AND AT TIMES IT WAS SENT DIRECT TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE.ACCORDING TO THE YEARLY REPORTS I SENT EACH YEAR HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS FOR FEDERAL TAXES AND NEW YORK STATE TAXES.

THE NUMBERS ON THE TRUSTEES IDENTIFYING MONIES I BENEFITED BY DOES NOT MATCH UP OR SHOW ON THE MONTHLY STATEMENTS WITH DRAWN.

#5 UPON REVIEWING THE ABOVE INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY THE TRUSTEE I FEEL THEY HAVE NO RIGHT TO DENY ME MY CLAIM BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT REVEALING THE COMPLETE HISTORY.

I DAVID GROSS AND IRMA GROSS ACCOUNT WAS STARTED MANY YEARS BEFORE 1994
AS INDICATED BY THE TRUSTEE. THE ORIGINAL INVESTMENTS WAS MADE WITH
COHMAD (MAURICE COHN AND RICHARD SPRING) AT THE TIME COHMAD
ADVISED ME AS TO WHAT TO REPORT TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE. IT WAS UNTIL
YEARS LATER THAT MR. SPRING ADVISED ME THAT THEY WILL BE SETTING UP
I DIRECT ACCOUNT WITH BERNARD L. MADOFF SECURITIES AND IT WILL BE
AS PREVIOUS BECAUSE THE ACCOUNT NUMBER INDICATED 1-CM404-3-0
A COHMAD ACCOUNT AND IN THIS MANNER RICHARD SPRING WAS COMPENSATED
FOR MY BEING HIS ACCOUNT REPRESENTATIVE

AT ALL TIME , ALL MY DEALINGS WITH MY INVESTMENTS WAS WITH COHMAD WHO SUPPOSINGLY DID A DUE DILIGENCE JOB BY LOOKING OUT FOR THEIR COHMAD CLIENTS. THE TRUSTEE REPRESENTS THE INVESTER AND ANY RECOVERY MADE FROM COHMAD SHOULD BE DISTRIBUED WITH A COHMAD ACCOUNT,

I DAVID GROSS OBJECTION TO ANY DISTRIBUTIONS, UNLESS DAVID GROSS AND IRMA GROSS ACCOUNT 1-CM404-3-0 IS INCLUDED AND SHOULD BE ENTITLED TOO.

hap has

NOTICE OF TRUSTEES TERMINATION OF CLAIM LOOK

AT THEM EACH DIFFERENT

THE IRMA AND DAVID GROSS ACCOUNT

1-CM404-3-0

UNLESS WE ARE PART OF DISTRIBUTION I FEEL

MY OBJECTION TO DISTRIBUTION SHOULD BE ON

HOLD TO SATISFY THOSE CLAIMS THAT ARE

QUESTIONABLE.

TO GIVE ALL THE SAME OPPORTUNITY